

On these pages are the responses of Pastor Simon to the TRC Report.

There have been three responses in total. My comments are in Red.

RESPONSE 1

I sent the TRC Document to Pastor Simon last Thursday 14th May at 5:12pm. Several hours later this response was received.

Thank for your epistle ... clearly i have a few comments ...

1. Why do you assume that there has been nothing done about some of the aspects you raise Alan? What a staggering "ill-informed" statement!

- there have been dozens of conversations by many Pastors and myself about the BI future; what may happen; who it will involve; how far are we prepared to project into the future etc.

- the common thread that i have found is that there are so many many opinions ... EVEN THE BOFFINS DISAGREE! However, unlike you i think that's very healthy ... that's the way it's always been in my memory!

- you seem to have the opinion that Pastor Lloyd was totally "locked" in on his views on how things will end up... i've NEVER seen that Alan ... in fact, in many gatherings he would go to great lengths warning us to NOT wrestle with the future and focus on the present ... in fact, when I took over he warned me that there would be some who would spoil things by being far TOO definite than we can afford to be when scripture holds so many possibilities.

- some assemblies place greater emphasis on aspects of BI whereas other don't! It has always depended on the interest and confidence of the local Pastor!

- recently i have had some people ring threatening to leave in one centre because there is too much OT BI stuff etc. and absolutely NO inspirational ministry!? AND THAT'S A FACT!

2. You're good at making an assumptions, turning it into a fact, then exploring your side of circumstances further, to enhance it!
3. I know some who have moved to TRC and feel totally inspired by the ministry and it's practical application in life who felt completely lost before?!
4. The Apostles doctrine?! Sounds like you've been perusing the RCI internet hate pages?! I don't even know what you're talking about Alan!
5. Allan BI, is part of God's plan, migrations, captivities, the throne of David, heraldry ... i don't know of any RCI Pastor who disputes any of this part! ... also, i've heard PV preach from the OT dozens of times?!?!?
6. Recently when P Vic preached in Melbourne it was said by a number here, how relevant and inspirational he was?
6. Loyalty to Pastor Lloyd? ... It is to the Word of God that we pledge loyalty to Alan! I have said that to you a number of times! You recount a story that i don't recall!
7. You clearly have your viewpoint and wish to try to hurt (alert) others by distributing your epistle ... that's called sowing discord Alan ... there is no justification for that! but that's up to you!
8. Like you, I have spent most my life in RCI, over the years i have been privy to thousands of conversations about all the stuff that you feel has been tossed out ... Even Pastor LLOYD would give it could mean this, it could mean that talks on the Bride (both ways), Spiritual Israel stuff like that - maybe you weren't listening! ... He famously once said "Israel has had its chips" ... then on another occasion expressed it completely differently?! I've read books from Campbell, our guys, my dad other writings from other prominent Pastors ... I have often asked "how do you know it means that?" Alan, the things we must be sure about is who we are!
9. Our job is to bring people to salvation Alan not wrestle with about what comes next ...
10. i have no intention of going "tit-for-tat" on your epistle Alan i don't play those games ...

All the best

PSL

My reply to the response was as follows:

Dear Simon,

I didn't spend too much time discussing the migrations. Just the irrefutable promises of God which are the fabric of scripture which Victor denies.

Billy Graham is inspirational to millions, this has nothing to do with what is written in this document.

The behaviour of the oversight is appalling if you have read what I have written at all.

What I have written is witnessed! It is not a story. I cannot believe you consider the actions of Victor are acceptable.

Are your comments all you wish to say on this matter?

Regards

Alan

RESPONSE 2

Simon made another ten point response which I comment on to each, directly underneath in red. These were then sent back to him.

Dear ~~Alan~~ Simon

1. You write an epistle obviously over many months, whatever - then you expect me to immediately agree with your perceptions in two minutes ... that's really fair!

I didn't ask for an agreement on anything and there was certainly no time limit.

I started writing this sometime after the Reeves were put out of fellowship mid March.

This didn't land on your head out of the blue. We have spoken about virtually all the matters pertaining to the doctrine issues brought up in my letter. I have pleaded with you over years. I have logged some of our communications in the diary section with time frame.

The last time we spoke/wrote was concerning a couple, Simon and Nadene who I simply asked you to please ring regarding a matter which involved a letter to you. They were very upset.

I still have the exchange of correspondence between you and I which you ended by stating that I was responsible for the problems in Townsville. You came to these conclusions without the slightest bit of evidence, simply on Victor's say so. (This is all logged in section 5).

Without hiring the services of Attenborough and creating some sort of documentary I do not know how much clearer or more detailed the evidence needs to be in order to support my accusations.

I sent you admittedly a lengthy document and a few hours later your reply came back dismissing every vital aspect of it. You didn't even mention the Reeves, to read their section is absolutely sickening by any standards. Even the judges of this world would be nauseated.

The minimum I would have expected from a pastor would have been 'Alan these accusations are very serious even if only half true...hang on and we'll get to the bottom of it.'

Not a word about them in your reply or any indication of concern at all.

I have done everything by the book. I have not gone behind Victor's back I approached him first then you over years. This is fair. Again, I approached you first I did not send this out to all and sundry and I did it in hope.

2. FYI ... I have sent copies to Pastor Geoff Beggs and Pastor Victor so far, and these have been in turn forwarded to the relevant TRC officers for consideration ... that IS fair!

See above

3. You dismiss my comments like they are nothing, irrelevant, not even worth commenting on! My comments are real and very very relevant ... but not for you it seems!

Your comments didn't seem to address much at all they merely reiterated deny, deny, deny everything. I had said very little concerning BI and the popularity of Victor's Talks weren't even discussed by me. The promises of God which give us an understanding of prophecy, the parables of Christ and Revelations are not crystal ball gazings into the future. They glorify what God has done what he is doing and yes, what he will do.

4. I have read through your PV talk dissections and one thought comes to mind over and over you may recognize it - "a text without its context is a pretext".

This is why I said I will send a CD of all the talks to anyone who is interested. I've time-stamped the points on the talk where I extracted the quote from, to make it as contextual and as easy to reference as possible.

5. On the left column you list PV quotes then on the right column your taken inferences - reading through on many occasions I can't believe your extrapolations! How on earth did YOU arrive at that meaning that!

In that case ignore my 'extrapolations' and just read what Victor has said. If you want to get really adventurous simply: **Listen to the whole talk.**

6. You sight many conversations about Africa, doctrine, various matters, the welfare of various matters, things i have spoken to you about, things that my father has said about many things ... ALL DISMISSED!

Sorry, I don't even know what you are trying to say here.

7. You refer to people who have been put out for ABSOLUTELY NOTHING AT ALL! Come on Alan!

I didn't just refer to them, section one details what has happened. The details are either wrong or they are right. I gave a challenge that if there is more, what is it and specify what it is.

8. You threaten me that you'll send it out to whoever IF whatever ... fine by me Alan, but before you do that ... read it again carefully ...

There was no threat to send to 'whoever IF whatever'. The next and last stage was clearly indicated as going to 'the pastors'.

As you probably guessed I have given this a lot of thought. For over a year since we were put out of fellowship, (*I give you the same challenge as above*), I have only rarely reacted to outlandish comments made in my presence concerning scripture. I have contented myself with the scriptures and those who are also interested in them.

I have spent 33 years in the RCI. My whole history and all my friends from Tasmania, Melbourne, Sydney and even Townsville are completely wrapped up in my life. I understand the implications of writing this report and it would be presumptuous to suggest I sent it to you in eagerness.

I cannot stand by and allow good people to be pushed out of fellowship and into the night if I am capable of doing something.

9. Anyone with any "grey matter" in reading you epistle would think that you have a very very personal problem with PV ... your bias, extrapolations, are extraordinary!

My estimation of what people with any "grey matter" reading this 'epistle' would think differs considerably from yours.

10. Did you know that over eight years ago the Pastors agreed unanimously to take care in how we interpret the future and BI ... PASTOR LLOYD WAS THERE! Funny thing Alan I didn't see you!

I do know that about four years ago there was a meeting which involved at least you, Johnny Andrews, Victor and Pastor Ian McGregor. You told me about this Simon. The meeting was a type of showdown over BI which Victor bulldozed his way through.

I bet you my last coconut that if Pastor Lloyd had been at that meeting Victor would have been torn to shreds. You said very little at that meeting and told me you were disappointed that Pastor Ian didn't say more. What I thought at the time, but didn't ask was, *why you didn't stand up and defend the doctrine*, against these people.

These people who had neither the guts nor the integrity to state what they really believed under your father's ministry are now your confidants and the source of your ministry.

You boasted to me that people have told you things are now better than they have ever been. No wonder. Pastor Lloyd would have had them scrambling to shelter applying doctrine, doctrine, doctrine. But now the lights have dimmed the master is gone and the cockroaches are scurrying out from under their discarded pizza boxes boasting that they never believed him in the first place.

Refer to point 3, *BI isn't crystal ball gazing*.

You gave a talk in February 2008, in Melbourne, (Jenni was there, Emma's wedding) where you said 'the BI message was the 'skeleton' that all of our doctrine hangs on.' You tell me what happens when you remove the skeleton from a body.

Anyway you've obviously set your course, your determinations and intentions are clear ...

If they were clear to you, you would have understood what I had written and given some indication that you understood the potential severity of the content, assuming genuineness. I would have been over the moon if you would have given any acknowledgement, but I read your reply again and I can't find any.

Alan

Simon

RESPONSE 3

This is the final 7 point response from Pastor Simon: I felt no need to respond it was obvious that my report had been completely rejected and I was being called a liar.

2009/5/15 Simon Longfield <simlong@bigpond.com>

Again you are way off!

Just a few points ... in no order!

1. I have NEVER spoken to you about the Pastors meeting that came the next day after that meeting and its conclusions.

Victor said very little in that meeting - he didn't "bulldoze" anything or anyone - what are talking about!?

2. Those that have been "put out" (which they haven't been!) are the concern of the TRC oversight not yours!

The accounts that you catalogue bare almost no resemblance to what the TRC officers have conveyed to me!

3. God relationship with Israel is irrefutable in scripture, of course it is - nobody has ever refuted that - including PV!

4. Your tone of you've done nothing wrong, you've been ostracized for upholding your "PERCEPTION" of RCI is amazing!

Alan, you used to sit in the Nankivells house openly "bagging" BI with Frank - now you're the expert on all these matters!

5. I have had a number of calls about your tendency to revel in the intrigues of how people are handled - I WARNED YOU TO STOP IT!

You discredit my comments saying that i have done nothing to fix these things ...

6. It seems that you have become obsessed with everything! The analysis of words, concepts, talks etc.

No Pastor what pass of "newly-found" self assured "MY WAY or ELSE" mindset - EVEN PASTOR LLOYD!

6. STOP WHAT YOU'RE DOING! GET HAPPY WITH THE LORD! LOOK AFTER YOUR FAMILY! SUPPORT THE CHURCH!

Written by **Pastor**

Simon Longfield - just doing his job like you asked me too!